

Jeju,
Korea
GSC-17
2013



Document No:	GSC17-PLEN-14
Source:	GSC-EM Task Force
Contact:	Chantal Bonardi
GSC Session:	PLEN
Agenda Item:	8.1

GSC Emergency Communications Task Force Report (GSC-EM TF)

Presenter: Chantal Bonardi
GSC-EM Task Force Convenor

GSC-EM TF goal

- **Goal: discover and document a coordinated approach on how to provide emergency communications before, during and after a significant disaster**
- **Expected outcome of the GSC-EM TF:**
 - Identify commonalities, gaps and possible overlaps of standards in each region
 - Catalogue of what exists in each region and what may exist tomorrow on a global scale
 - Collect regulatory requirements
 - Broad scope: Public Safety was considered in the report, and not only Emergency calls
 - Results of the Task Force study: report (word version) to be delivered at GSC#17
 - Based on the word report, make suggestions to the GSC for harmonization



GSC-EM TF organisation

- **All GSC SDOs participated:**
ARIB (Japan), ATIS (United States of America), CCSA (China), ETSI (Europe), ISACC (Canada), ITU (United Nations), TIA (United States of America), TTA (Korea) and TTC (Japan)
- **Dedicated mailing list:** GSC-EM@LIST.ETSI.ORG
 - 50 people subscribed (submitted by GSC SDOs)
- **Monthly confcalls (from June 2012 to April 2013)**
 - Average of 9 participants/confcall
 - Aim of confcalls: discuss new input and update draft word report accordingly



Results of GSC-EM TF study: a word report (1)

- Each SDO provided input
 - About its own standards
 - Or, on work/standards done in other organisations or Research & Development Projects (like input from India in many clauses and input from Australia on current initiatives PPDR spectrum)
- The word report ([GSC17-PLEN-14a1](#)) is a compilation of input provided by different organisations, different people, from different countries or regions
 - The aim of the Convenor of the Task Force was to include each input equally, respecting each provider even if the reader may feel there is a lack of harmonisation of some clauses.



Results of GSC-EM TF study: a word report (2)

- The 4 scenarios "in case of emergency" were considered
- Only GSC SDOs' regions were addressed: North America, Asia and Pacific, and Europe (due to lack of time and lack of additional input)
- Global standards (like 3GPP and ITU standards) were also included
- Regulatory aspects per country or region are also part of the word report
- Ongoing standardization and regulation initiatives were also considered
- Future possible standards were added
- Conclusions and suggestions finalise the report



Interim conclusions (1)

- **Regulatory approach**
 - Different National regulations for emergency communications exist in each of the GSC SDOs countries
 - In some regions there is collaboration between countries for regulation
- **Availability of a dedicated spectrum for Public Protection and Disaster Relief is rare**
 - In most countries this topic is under study, but not all
- **Availability of standards**
 - 3 scenarios, in case of an emergency, are covered: alerting the individuals, alerting the authorities, communication between and among authorities
 - All GSC SDOs' countries/regions have implemented the main standardized systems, but are not necessarily the same
 - A national or regional emergency mandated number exist (but is not the same worldwide)
 - 4th scenario “communications between individuals”: there are no standardized systems for this scenario.



Interim conclusions (2)

- **Future standards**
 - Possible new technologies for public safety (such as Reconfigurable Radio Systems): under study at the moment
 - ITU-T Focus Group on Disaster Relief Systems, Network Resilience and Recovery is conducting a study
 - may lead to further work in ITU-T Study Groups, and so to possible global recommendations
 - Recent technologies such as LTE have been mandated for public safety in some countries (like in U.S.A.) but not for the time being in other countries or regions
- **Standards cooperation**
 - Some initiatives of SDOs cooperation already exist such as 3GPP, MESA, CMAS (Canada and U.S.A.)
 - Collaboration between countries or regions is very important
 - Collaboration between all SDOs (and not only GSC SDOs) seems essential for a coordinated approach



Suggestions (1)

- **Having the same system deployed everywhere in the world, for each kind of communication (such as alerting the individuals), could be an ideal solution**
 - But regulatory requirements are different from one country/region to another
 - Will future technologies solve this issue, or will interoperable solutions between different systems have to be worked out?
- **Encourage and enhance collaboration between SDOs worldwide, and see if harmonization of standards could be better developed**
 - Collaboration is already in place in some parts of the world where there are regional (or partly regional) approach (such as Europe and Canada/U.S.A.)
 - SDOs have a very good opportunity to collaborate on new capabilities and new technologies for emergency communications and public safety



Suggestions (2)

- **Encourage interoperable solutions**
 - The report provides a better knowledge of existing technologies/capabilities and gives an opportunity to work with SDOs worldwide to develop interoperable solutions where possible
- **In order to facilitate interoperable solutions and reduce cost and time of deployment, public safety solutions should, whenever practical, be based on global commercial standards**
- **SDOs are encouraged to consider**
 - public safety support when writing standards;
 - and required standardization time and deployment cost.



Suggestions (3)

- **The main suggestion is to continue the work of the GSC-EM Task Force until GSC#18**
 - in order to complete the report with further input into the current clauses;
 - and with additional clauses to cover all the regions and main countries.



GSC#17 is invited to

- **Note the interim conclusions and suggestions in slides 6 to 10**
- **Encourage and enhance collaboration between SDOs worldwide, and see if harmonization of Standards could be better developed**
- **Encourage interoperable solutions based on global commercial standards**
- **Agree to continue the work of the GSC Task Force on Emergency Communications until GSC#18**



Many thanks to all the participants of this Task Force for their considerable effort!

Thank you!

Contact Details:

chantal.bonardi@etsi.org

